		ATES DISTRICT	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Thomas B. Brown (SBN 104254) E-mail: tbrown@bwslaw.com Amy E. Hoyt (SBN 149789) E-mail: ahoyt@bwslaw.com Matthew Visick (SBN 258106) E-mail: mvisick@bwslaw.com BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP 1901 Harrison Street, Suite 900 Oakland, CA 94612 Tel: 510.273.8780 Fax: 510.839.9104 Attorneys for Respondents City of Milpitas, City of Milpitas City Counc Milpitas Mobile Home Park Rent Review Bo	IT IS SO ORDERED Judge Edward J. Davila Judge Edward J. Davila 10/10/2012	
9	I DHTED STAT	EC DICTRICT COLIDT	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION		
12	SAN	DSE DIVISION	
13	Milpitas Mobile Home Estates, a California	Case No. CV 12-03386 EJD	
14	Limited Partnership, dba Friendly Village Mobile Home Estates,	STIPULATION RE: RESPONSIVE	
15	Petitioner,	PLEADING DEADLINE EXTENSION TO DECEMBER 10, 2012	
16	·	DECEMBER 10, 2012	
17	V.		
18	The City of Milpitas, the City of Milpitas City Council, the Mobile Home Park Rental Review Board,		
19	Respondents,		
20	reosponaents,		
21	The Tenants Residing at Friendly Village Mobile Home Estates,		
22	Real Parties in Interest.		
23	icarranes in mores.		
24	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Petitioner Milpitas Mobile Home Estates,		
25	dba Friendly Village Mobile Home Estates, ("Petitioner") and Respondents City of Milpitas, City		
26	of Milpitas City Council, and City of Milpitas Mobile Home Park Rent Review Board		
27	("Respondents") through their respective counsel as follows:		
28	1. The time for Respondents to r	espond to the Summons and Petition for Writ of	

	*		
1	Administrative Mandamus is hereby extended up to and including December 10, 2012.		
2	2. Nothing in this stipulation shall be construed to continue any deadlines or hearings		
3	set forth in the August 24, 2012 Clerk's Notice Following Reassignment from Magistrate Judge.		
4	3. The execution of this stipulation shall not constitute a general appearance by any		
5	of the Respondents.		
6	4. This stipulation may be electronically filed and executed in counter parts, and		
7	copies (e.g. electronic copies, facsimiles or photocopies) shall constitute good evidence of such		
8	execution.		
9	Dated: October 8, 2012 BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP		
10	Dated: October, 2012 BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP		
11	million of		
12	By: Thomas B. Brown		
13	Amy E. Hoyt Matthew D. Visick		
14	Attorneys for Respondents		
15	Dated: October		
16	ONTO PROCE		
17	By: Anthony C. Rodriguez		
18	Althory C. Rodriguez Attorney for Petitioner		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23	,		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
AMS &z	2 CTID EVTENDING DEADING DEADINE		

PROOF OF SERVICE 1 I declare that I am over the age of eighteen (18) and not a party to this action. My 2 business address is 2280 Market Street, Suite 300, Riverside, California 92501. 3 On October 8, 2012, I served the following document(s): STIPULATION RE: RESPONSIVE PLEADING DEADLINE EXTENSION TO DECEMBER 10, 2012 on the 4 interested parties in this action by placing a true and correct copy of such document, enclosed in 5 a sealed envelope, addressed as follows: SERVICE LIST 6 (Update: 10-08-12) Milpitas Moible Home Estates, et al. vs. The City of Milpiats, et al. 7 USDC Case No.: CV 12-03386 EJD 8 **REPRESENTING: COUNSEL:** 9 **Attorneys for Petitioner** Anthony C. Rodriguez, Esq. LAW OFFICE OF ANTHONY C. MILPITAS MOBILE HOME ESTATES, a 10 California Limited Partnership, dba RODRIGUEZ FRIENDLY VILLAGE MOBÎLE HOME 1425 Leimert Boulevard, Suite 101 11 **ESTATES** Oakland, California 94602 12 TEL: (510) 336-1536 FAX: (510) 336-1537 13 E-mail: arodesq@pacbell.net 14 BY COURT CASE MANAGEMENT/ ELECTRONIC CASE FILES (X)15 (CM/ECF) SYSTEM, By submitting the document listed above as a Portable Document Format (PDF), by uploading an electronic version via CM/ECF System 16 case filing which automatically generates a Notice of Electronic Filing or NEF which allows recipients to retrieve the document(s) automatically, pursuant to the 17 Court's Administrative Order Regarding Electronic Filing. I certify that said transmission was completed and that all pages contained therein were received. 18 [CRC, Rule 2.250(5) and 2.253(a)] 19 BY U.S. MAIL. I am readily familiar with the business' practice for collection ()and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal 20 Service. I know that the correspondence was deposited with the United States Postal Service on the same day this declaration was executed in the ordinary 21 course of business. I know that the envelope was sealed and, with postage thereon fully prepaid, placed for collection and mailing on this date in the United 22 States mail at Riverside, California. [CCP § 1012; 1013; 1013a] 23 BY OVERNIGHT COURIER, I caused the above-referenced document(s) to be deposited in a box or other facility regularly maintained by the overnight courier, 24 or I delivered the above-referenced document(s) to an overnight courier service, for delivery to the above addressee(s). [CCP §1013] 25 BY FACSIMILE. The facsimile transmission of the foregoing document was 26 reported as complete and without error. A copy of the transmission report as issued by the transmission facsimile machine is attached pursuant to California 27 Rules of Court, Rule 2.306(h)(4). [CRC 2.306(a)(b)(d)(f)(g)(h)] 28

Case 5:12-cv-03386-EJD Document 12 Filed 10/10/12 Page 4 of 4

Ĭ	Ĭ	
1 2	()	BY EMAIL. I caused the document (without enclosures) described above, to be sent via email in PDF format to the above-referenced person(s) at the email addresses listed. [Pursuant toAgreement between counsel – electronic service pursuant to Rule 2.260, CRC]
3 4	()	BY PERSONAL SERVICE. I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the above-referenced person(s) at the above address(s). [CCP § 1011]
5		Executed October 8, 2012, Riverside, California.
6	(X)	(Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar
7		of this court at whose direction the service was made.
8		and the
9		
10		MARY THE USINEY
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
2627		
28		
20		